

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,530	11/21/2003	Claudio D. Carosi	1166U101 8415		
7:	590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER		
George A Rolston			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
Suite 900 45 Sheppard Avenue East			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Toronto, M2N 5W9			3618		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(P
		10/717,530	CAROSI ET AL.	U
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Frank Vanaman	3618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	S
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 12-16 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
_	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Staç	ge
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/717,530 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

Status of Application

1. Applicant's amendment, filed Jan 12, 2006, has been entered in the application. Claims 1-16 remain pending.

2. Applicant's remarks, at page 5, refer to claim 9 as having been canceled, however a complete instance of claim 9 has been provided with the claims submitted. Applicant's intention regarding this claim is not clear

Claim Rejections 35 USC 112

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 14, the recitation of "a pair of ... members ... connected to each side" is confusing in that it is not clear whether this refers to each side having a separate pair, or two members each connected to the two sides, or a member connected to each respective side; compare, for example, to line 11, wherein the recitation associated with the fulcrum leg members appears more clearly set forth; in claim 2, lines 8-9 it is not clear which leg member and which link member of the pairs recited in claim 1, lines 11 and 14 are being referred to; in claim 2, line 11, the recitation of each end of a cross bar being connected to a single link member is confusing (compare with the original recitation).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 1, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 2-11, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 12-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,530 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Response to Comments

7. Applicant's comments concerning the prior art applied to the previously submitted claims are noted. The examiner agrees that the prior art of record cannot be applied against the claims as currently written. As regards claims currently rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, please note that rejections under 35 USC §102 and 103 should not be based upon considerable speculation as to the meaning of the terms employed and assumptions as to the scope of the claims when the claims are not definite. See In re Steele 305 F.2d 859, 862, 134 USPQ 292, 295 (CCPA 1962). When no reasonably definite meaning can be ascribed to certain terms in a claim, the subject matter does not become anticipated or obvious, but rather the claim becomes indefinite. See In re Wilson 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 165 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970). As such the currently pending claims may be subject to prior art rejections not set forth herein upon the clarification of the claim language.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry specifically concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 571-272-6701.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be made through either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 3618

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to:

PTO Central Fax: 571-273-8300

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618